



Study program: **Doctoral Program**

Session: **Dissertation**

Please indicate your name corresponding to your role (either as Supervisor 1 or as Supervisor 2).

Supervisor 1: _____

Supervisor 2: _____

Assessment period: WT 2018/19

Please fill in the exact date of assessment:

Submission: together with the *supervisor report* to the examination office.

Goal 1: Our doctoral graduates will have expert scientific knowledge in their field of specialization. **(Knowledge)**

Objective 1: Our doctoral candidates will demonstrate comprehensive knowledge of the literature relevant to their research.

Trait	Does not meet expectations (0)	Meets expectations (1)	Exceeds expectations (2)	Not applicable
Doctoral candidate provides an overview of the literature relevant to their research.	Provides insufficient evidence of comprehensive overview.	Provides sufficient evidence of comprehensive evaluation.	Provides extensive evidence of comprehensive evaluation.	
Doctoral candidate identifies research gap.	Provides insufficient and/or irrelevant references. Doesn't clearly link their research to the current literature.	Provides sufficient and relevant references. Links their research to the current literature.	Provides comprehensive and relevant references. Precisely links their research to the current literature.	



Study program: **Doctoral Program**

Session: **Dissertation**

Please indicate your name corresponding to your role (either as Supervisor 1 or as Supervisor 2).

Supervisor 1: _____ Supervisor 2: _____

Assessment period: WT 2018/19

Please fill in the exact date of assessment:

Submission: together with the *supervisor report* to the examination office.

Goal 2: Our doctoral graduates will be problem-solvers for complex scientific issues. **(Problem-solving)**

Objective 1: Our doctoral candidates will demonstrate originality and self-direction to identify complex scientific issues.

Trait	Does not meet expectations (0)	Meets expectations (1)	Exceeds expectations (2)	Not applicable
Doctoral candidate identifies original research question.	No or insufficient identification of a research question.	Identifies a viable research question.	Independently identifies a strong, original research question.	
Doctoral candidate autonomously plans and implements research.	Provides insufficient evidence of autonomous and structured planning and implementation.	Provides sufficient evidence of autonomous and structured planning and implementation.	Provides extensive evidence of autonomous and structured planning and implementation.	
Doctoral candidate adjusts the project design in the light of unforeseen problems.	Makes insufficient adjustments.	Makes sufficient adjustments.	Makes innovative adjustments.	

Goal 2: Our doctoral graduates will be problem-solvers for complex scientific issues. **(Problem-solving)**

Objective 2: Our doctoral candidates will solve complex scientific issues by applying state of the art scientific methods.

Trait	Does not meet expectations (0)	Meets expectations (1)	Exceeds expectations (2)	Not applicable
Doctoral candidate selects and applies appropriate scientific methods relevant to their research project	No or irrelevant methods selected.	Appropriate and relevant methods applied correctly.	Proficiently applies sophisticated methods.	
Doctoral candidate develops creative and original responses to their research question.	Provides no new and original response to research question.	Creates some new knowledge through original research.	Creates new knowledge through original research of a quality to merit publication in a top-tier journal.	



Study program: **Doctoral Program**

Session: **Dissertation**

Please indicate your name corresponding to your role (either as Supervisor 1 or as Supervisor 2).

Supervisor 1: _____

Supervisor 2: _____

Assessment period: WT 2018/19

Please fill in the exact date of assessment:

Submission: together with the *supervision report* to the examination office.

Goal 3: Our doctoral graduates will be effective communicators of scientific issues. **(Communication)**

Objective 1: Our doctoral candidates will write in an academic style.

Trait	Does not meet expectations (0)	Meets expectations (1)	Exceeds expectations (2)	Not applicable
Doctoral candidate writes in a clear and concise way.	Too many redundancies in the argumentation. Imprecise use of scientific language.	Adequate use of scientific language (and to the point). Very few redundancies in the argumentation.	Precise use of scientific language (and to the point). No redundancies in the argumentation.	
Doctoral candidate writes in a coherent and logical way. Uses adequate hirachy of arguments.	Content is not well structured. Structure does not reflect a logical argumentation.	Content is adequately structured. Structure is logically pointing towards the goal of the argumentation.	Content is well structured. Structure reflects a critical analysis and a logic and goal-oriented argumentation.	



Study program: **Doctoral Program**

Session: **Dissertation**

Please indicate your name corresponding to your role (either as Supervisor 1 or as Supervisor 2).

Supervisor 1: _____

Supervisor 2: _____

Assessment period: WT 2018/19

Please fill in the exact date of assessment:

Submission to: together with the *evaluation* to the examination office.

Goal 4: B heVbVpdeT_ZeTWhTgxf j _UX`xfcbafUX`fVXagfg! (Responsibility)

Objective 1: B heVbVpdeT_VTaVWTgxf j _VK` bafgTg`agZedg TaWwb` ` gj Xaggb`Xg Vf j [Xa`VbaWhVgaZ` g Xe`xfXTd[!

Trait	Does not meet expectations (0)	Meets expectations (1)	Exceeds expectations (2)	Not applicable
Doctoral candidate appropriately documents and stores original data.	Inadequate documentation and/or insecure storage of data.	Adequate documentation and secure storage. Provides supporting materials (describing data, analyses, research process) in transparent, easy to access format.	Clear and concise documentation and highly secure storage. Provides extensive supporting materials (describing data, analyses, process) in transparent, easy to access format. Contributes to internal knowledge repository.	
Doctoral candidate works in a reflective, self-critical and scientific way.	Inadequate and/or insufficient evidence of reflection, self-criticism and academic research.	Adequate evidence of reflection, self-criticism and academic research.	Thorough evidence of reflection, self-criticism and academic research.	
Doctoral candidate adequately references sources of literature.	Provides insufficient and/or inadequate references.	Provides sufficient and adequate references.	Provides comprehensive and relevant references.	