



Study program: **Doctoral Program (Page 1 of 2)**

Course/session: **Doctoral Seminar**

Supervisor: _____

Assessment period: WT 2018/19

Submission to: aol@wi.tum.de

(Please use the format "AoL | doctoral seminar | doctoral candidate's name" for the subject line of the email).

Goal 1: Our doctoral graduates will have expert scientific knowledge in their field of specialization. **(Knowledge)**

Objective 2: Our doctoral candidates will demonstrate a comprehensive understanding of scientific methods and techniques applicable to their research.

Objective is not applicable (no traits assessed):

Trait	Does not meet expectations (0)	Meets expectations (1)	Exceeds expectations (2)
Doctoral candidate evaluates current methods.	Lacks proficiency in the relevant methods.	Provides sufficient evidence of comprehensive evaluation.	Exceeds proficiency in the relevant methods.
Doctoral candidate makes appropriate links between their research and current methods.	Makes no or weak links.	Makes sufficient and robust links.	Identifies more distant, but relevant links, making non-obvious, innovative connections.



Study program: **Doctoral Program (Page 2 of 2)**

Course/session: **Doctoral Seminar**

Supervisor: _____

Assessment period: WT 2018/19

Submission to: Quality Management (aol@wi.tum.de)

(Please use the format "AoL | doctoral seminar | doctoral candidate's name" for the subject line of the email).

Goal 3: Our doctoral graduates will be effective communicators of scientific issues.
(Communication)

Objective 2: Our doctoral candidates will orally communicate scientific knowledge and the results of their research in a clear and effective manner.

Objective is not applicable (no traits assessed):

Trait	Does not meet expectations (0)	Meets expectations (1)	Exceeds expectations (2)
Doctoral candidate orally communicates in a clear, concise and coherent way.	Pace is uneven, voice is hard to understand, quality of rhetoric is flawed with mistakes, content is not well structured.	Pace is steady, voice clear and easy to understand with good accentuation and rhetoric is above average with appropriate stylistic devices, content is adequately structured.	Pace is steady and appropriate to content, voice accentuates content and helps with easy understanding; rhetoric level exceeds expectations and makes content easier to understand, content well structured.
Adequate quality of content.	Information presented is not sufficient or has been insufficiently researched.	Information presented is sufficient and well researched.	Information presented is detailed, comprehensive, well researched and relevant.
Doctoral candidate is able to answer questions about their research.	Provides partial answers to some questions.	Correctly answers most questions.	Confidently handles any questions, even unexpected ones.